

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,039	12/14/2003	James Jong Lee	PLFPC031119 4759		
7590 02/01/2005			EXAMINER		
Peter J. Gluck			SANDY, ROBERT JOHN		
Patent Law Fin	m, P.C.				
2nd Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
26892 Preciado	os Drive	3677			
Mission Viejo,	CA 92691-5238	DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/737	,039	LEE ET AL.				
C	Office Action Summary	Examir	er	Art Unit				
			J. Sandy	3677				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provision: MONTHS from the mailing date of this com for reply specified above is less than thirty (a for reply is specified above, the maximum sply within the set or extended period for reply acceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be to statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· unication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 14 December	· 2003.					
	A	2b)⊠ This action is						
3) Sinc	ce this application is in condition	•		rosecution as to the m	erits is			
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	of Claims							
4a) 0 5)	m(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the a Of the above claim(s) is/a m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are rejected. m(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from						
Application F	apers							
10)⊠ The Appl Rep	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 14 December icant may not request that any objected the drawing sheet(s) including on the declaration is objected to be declaration in the declaration is objected to be declaration in the declaration is objected to be declarated the declaration is objected the declaration is object	er 2003 is/are: a) ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. So uired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	,	documents have be documents have be of the priority document Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in Applica ments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of D	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail (Date	(2)			
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o s)/Mail Date	r P1O/SB/08)	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to teach how to make and/or use the invention, i.e., failing to provide an enabling disclosure

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

- (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- (c) In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because it fails to provide an enabling disclosure teaching how to make the claimed invention, as to the following: The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure teaching how to make the claimed lacing member. The specification fails to set forth an process for enabling one to make the claimed lacing members according to the claimed process steps of finishing a terminal aspect of each lacing member with an end cap by creating a smooth peripheral surface over the entire end cap, and wherein the finishing step involves a chemical treatment and/or mechanical treatment. The written specification is silent to describing details of the claimed process step(s) pertaining to chemical, mechanical, thermal processes to produce a smooth peripheral surface over the entire end cap device.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 7, the phrase "end cap" should be changed to - - the end cap - - . Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed "process for manufacturing lacing members, according to claim limitation set forth in claim 2-5, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Furthermore, figures 1 through 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because the specification has identified figures 1-5 as being 'conventional', thus only that which is old and/or conventional is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified

and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to set forth an process for enabling one to perform the claimed process for manufacturing lacing members according to the claimed process steps of finishing a terminal aspect of each lacing member with an end cap by creating a smooth peripheral surface over the entire end cap, and wherein the finishing step involves a chemical treatment and/or mechanical treatment. The written specification is silent to describing the claimed process.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objection set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Concerning claim 1, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a lacing member for drawing and fixing together two different edges, the lacing member having the structural combination of a lacing member having at least two opposing sides and a plurality of discrete apertures disposed therethrough; and an end cap device having a smooth outer finish, which the end cap passes readily through each of the plurality of discrete apertures without becoming frictionally engaged within any of the plurality of discrete apertures.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lacing device structure is represented by Nelson (U. S. Patent No. 3,059,518), Stapleton (U. S. Patent No. 2,477,151), Phillips (U. S. Patent No. 5,638,589), Kemshall (U. S. Patent No. 1,073,496), Durand (U. S. Patent No. 716,877), Scotson-Clark (U. S. Patent No. 1,282,129), Dennis (U. S. Patent No. 1,730,809), Sonntag (U. S. Patent No. 3,581,353), and Chen (U. S. Patent No. 6,167,599).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Sandy whose telephone number is 703-305-7413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J.J. Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Robert J. Sandy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677